From: McClintock, Cyndi <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Sent: Monday, April 14, 2025 4:11 PM

To: Michele Wilson < MWilson@JAMSADR.com>

Cc: Alisa Razumovsky «ARazumovsky @jamsadr.com»; Scott, Stephen «smscott@fisherphillips.com»; Edwards, Bobbi https://doi.org/10.1007/j.com; MeClintock, Cyndi https://doi.org/

Subject: JAMS Case No. 5160000821 / Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al. / Respondent DRVM's Notice of Appearance

TO: JAMS

Attached is Respondent DRVM LLC's Notice of Appearance in the above-referenced matter. Counsel for DRVM requests that they be added to the service list for this matter.

Claimant is copied on this email transmittal, and a service copy of DRVM's notice will follow by first-class mail to Claimant.

Please let us know if there is any additional information needed to have access to the JAMS portal for this case.

Thank you.

Cyndi McClintock



From: ——Thornton ——@jamsadr.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2025 5:51 PM
To: McClintock, Cyndi <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Cc: Michele Wilson <MWilson@JAMSADR.com>, Scott, Stephen <smscott@fisherphillips.com>; Edwards, Bobbi

dedwards@fisherphillips.com>; Martinez, Melody

<mmartinez@fisherphillips.com>

Subject: RE: JAMS Case No. 5160000821 / Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al. / Respondent DRVM's Notice of Appearance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Firm. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Evening,

Thank you – please advise whether your firm is taking over for Gordon Rees or acting as Co-Counsel?

Sincerely,







------ Forwarded message -----From: "Scott, Stephen" <smscott@fisherphillips.com>

To: Thornton jamsadr.com>, "McClintock, Cyndi" < cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Cc: Michele Wilson MWilson@jamsadr.com, "Edwards,

Bobbi"

*Sedwards@fisherphillips.com>, "Martinez, Melody" <mmartinez@fisherphillips.com>

Bcc:

Date: Thu, 17 Apr 2025 19:26:00 +0000

Subject: RE: JAMS Case No. 5160000821 / Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al. / Respondent DRVM's Notice of Appearance

I am unaware of Gordon Rees being involved here. I am assuming they are acting on behalf of one of the others named.



Stephen M. Scott

Partner

Fisher & Phillips LLP 111 SW Fifth Avenue | Suite 4040 | Portland, OR 97204 smscott@fisherphillips.com | O: (503) 205-8094

vCard | Bio | Website On the Front Lines of Workplace LawsM

I have included Gordon Rees in my response to clarify which firm is representing which Respondent

JAMS is receipt of the 4th Amended Demand submitted by Claimant and is reviewing accordingly. Does Claimant intend on submitting any other Amended Demands? Please review JAMS Employment Rules (specifically Rule 9 and 10) regarding notices. If any more Claims and or Parties are added to the arbitration JAMS will have to make sure all JAMS notices are also sent to the new Parties and they too will need to be included in the arbitrator selection process. Please advise if

Claimant anticipates any further updates to the claims made or if JAMS can move forward with the most recent Amended Demand for Arbitration.

Thank you.

Thank you,

in the above matter. Please advise.

Sincerely,









The future of ADR is here, and JAMS Next is leading the way with

From: McClintock, Cyndi <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com> Sent: Monday, April 21, 2025 11:27 AM

To: Thornton @jamsadr.com>

Cc: Michele Wilson <MWilson@JAMSADR.com>; McClintock, Cyndi <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Subject: FOLLOW-UP: JAMS Case No. 5160000821 / Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al. / Respondent DRVM's Notice of Appearance

Laution: This email originated from outside JAMS. Do not click on links, scan QR codes, or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Hi Amy,

I wanted to check-in concerning this matter. Our firm represents Respondent DRVM LLC. Would you be able to let me know when we may have access to the JAMS portal?

Thanks so much for your assistance.

Cvndi



Cyndi McClintock

Legal Secretary

Fisher & Phillips LLP 111 SW Fifth Avenue | Suite 4040 | Portland, OR 97204 cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com | O: (503) 205-8067

Website

On the Front Lines of Workplace LawsM

From: Thornton < @jamsadr.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2025 11:08 AM

To: McClintock, Cyndi <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP: JAMS Case No. 5160000821 / Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al. / Respondent DRVM's Notice of Appearance

Good Morning,

Thank you for your email.

Please see the attached and advise – two different firms are claiming to represent the same party. Please advise which is correct and include all Parties in your response so all can confirm accordingly. Thanks.

Sincerely.





f in X

The future of ADR is here, and JAMS Next is leading the way with

On Thu, Apr 24, 2025 at 12:28 PM McClintock, Cyndi <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com> wrote:

Hi Amy,

On April 17, we responded that we are unaware of Gordon Rees representing DRVM; a copy of Stephen Scott's email is attached. Please let us know if that provides clarification.

Thank you,

Cvndi McClintock



Cyndi McClintock **Legal Secretary**

Fisher & Phillips LLP 111 SW Fifth Avenue | Suite 4040 | Portland, OR 97204

> Website On the Front Lines of Workplace LawSM

This message may contain confidential and privileged information. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error, then immediately delete this message.



FW: FOLLOW-UP: JAMS Case No. 5160000821 / Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al. / Respondent DRVM's Notice of Appearance

orden minotity >

Hi Amy,

Thank you again for staying engaged with this process. It's now been a full week since JAMS requested clarification of representation from Respondents. At this point, it seems clear that the other parties either do not wish to clarify or are choosing not to participate in the arbitrator assignment process.

I'm also attaching this message to all counsel of record or potential representation, as it remains unclear whether any firm besides Fisher Phillips or Gordon Rees intends to represent the remaining respondents—or whether either of these firms is representing multiple parties beyond DRVM LLC.

As the Claimant, I've made my position clear, responded to all communications, and stand fully ready to proceed. If no further clarification is provided, I would respectfully support moving forward with arbitrator selection based on the current record and formal appearances to date.

Please let me know if any additional clarification is needed from my side. It's also worth noting that I was not included in the recent reply, which further adds to the procedural confusion and raises concerns about transparency in communication at this stage.

I had anticipated additional notices of appearance from the remaining respondents, but given the silence, it appears only the current counsel intend to participate in the assignment process. As of now, there remains confusion over whether two separate firms are attempting to represent the same party, DRYM LLC, without any formal withdrawal or clarification. If needed, I would support JAMS requesting formal confirmation from both firms as to which party they are representing, or requesting one firm formally withdraw to eliminate further ambiguity.

I'll defer to your judgment on how best to proceed, and I trust you'll take whatever steps you feel are appropriate as the professional overseeing this process.

Sincerely.

Jorden Hollingsworth



FW: FOLLOW-UP: JAMS Case No. 5160000821 / Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al. / Respondent DRVM's Notice of Appearance

Jorden Timothy	Thu, Apr 24, 2025 at 1:39 PM
To: "McClintock, Cyndi" <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>, Thornton <</cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>	adr.com>, "Scott, Stephen"
<smscott@fisherphillips.com>, "Edwards, Bobbi" <bedwards@fisherphillips.com>, rcurtis@gr</bedwards@fisherphillips.com></smscott@fisherphillips.com>	rsm.com,
ndemmon@grsm.com, Jamie Olvera <yolvera@grsm.com></yolvera@grsm.com>	

Hi Amy,

I just wanted to follow up briefly for the record. Gordon Rees previously asked for a two-week delay, which I did not consent to and which was never formally granted. Since that request, JAMS has asked for clarification of representation, and both Fisher Phillips and Gordon Rees were included in that communication. That time is up.

Despite that, Fisher Phillips has continued to respond without including Gordon Rees in the thread—even after your request included both firms. It remains unclear why both firms appear to be involved with DRVM LLC without clarification or formal withdrawal from either side.

This confusion strongly implies that both firms may in fact be representing different respondents operating through DRVM or its related entities, which makes their continued silence even more concerning. If that's the case, then neither firm has been fully transparent about the scope of their representation, and this creates a significant procedural risk moving forward.

I remain fully ready to proceed and appreciate your continued guidance on how JAMS wishes to move forward given the lack of clarification.

Respectfully,

Jorden Hollingsworth

From: Jorden Timothy

Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 4:26 PM

To: Amy Thornton

Cc: Scott, Stephen <mscott@fisherphillips.com>; Edwards, Bobbi
dbedwards@fisherphillips.com>; McClintock, Cvndi <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Subject: FOLLOW-UP: JAMS Case No. 5160000821 / Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al. / Respondent DRVM's Notice of Appearance

Caution: This email originated from outside JAMS. Do not click on links, scan QR codes, or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Amy,

I'm following up again, as it's now been several business days since the JAMS dashboard was updated to reflect Fisher Phillips as counsel for DRVM. I appreciate the coordination in managing the transition following GRSM's withdrawal, but I'd like to respectfully request an update regarding arbitrator assignment.

I understand this is a complex and high-profile matter, and I appreciate the logistical effort involved. However, this ongoing delay and continued clarity is creating the perception that respondents are able to stall and play procedural games without consequence. As a pro se claimant navigating this process alone, the lack of clarity around representation and the arbitrator appointment places me at a significant procedural disadvantage.

At this point, I'd like to confirm whether JAMS intends to issue a list for party ranking or proceed with a direct appointment. With several filings pending, including whistleblower submissions now under review by federal agencies, I remain concerned about the growing procedural maneuvering and timeline. If assignment is not resolved shortly, I will be prepared to raise these delay concerns with the assigned arbitrator and request appropriate sanctions once the panel is in place.

If JAMS anticipates further delay in assigning an arbitrator due to the need for Fisher Phillips to clarify their role with respect to upstream parties, I'd sincerely appreciate a written update so that I can better understand the current procedural status. I only raise this out of a desire for transparency and balance in ensuring both sides are proceeding under the same expectations.

Please advise the next step in arbitrator assignment so I may prepare accordingly.

Thank you again for your continued coordination.

Best regards.

From: Jorden Timothy <

Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 4:30 PM

To: _____Thornton ______@jamsadr.com>

Cc: Scott, Stephen <smscott@fisherphillips.com>; Edwards, Bobbi <bedwards@fisherphillips.com>; McClintock,

Cyndi <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Subject: Re: FOLLOW-UP: JAMS Case No. 5160000821 / Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al. / Respondent DRVM's Notice of Appearance

Caution: This email originated from outside JAMS. Do not click on links, scan QR codes, or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you very much for the update. Look forward to the next step.

Sincerely,

Jorden Hollingsworth

On Tue, May 13, 2025 at 4:28 PM Thornton Jamsadr.com> wrote:

Thank you – JAMS is reviewing all the documents submitted thus far to ensure we have everything we need to move forward with commencement. I hope to have an update for all Parties within the next few business days.

Sincerely,







FOLLOW-UP: JAMS Case No. 5160000821 / Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al. / Respondent DRVM's Notice of Appearance

Thu, May 15, 2025 at 4:53 PM To: "bedwards@fisherphillips.com"

To: "bedwards@fisherphillips.com"

To: "smscott@fisherphillips.com" <smscott@fisherphillips.com", "smscott@fisherphillips.com" <smscott@fisherphillips.com", "smscott@fisherphillips.com"

Thu, May 15, 2025 at 4:53 PM To: "bedwards@fisherphillips.com", "smscott@fisherphillips.com"

Thu, May 15, 2025 at 4:53 PM To: "bedwards@fisherphillips.com", "smscott@fisherphillips.com"

Thu, May 15, 2025 at 4:53 PM To: "bedwards@fisherphillips.com", "smscott@fisherphillips.com"

Thu, May 15, 2025 at 4:53 PM To: "bedwards@fisherphillips.com", "smscott@fisherphillips.com"

Thu, May 15, 2025 at 4:53 PM To: "bedwards@fisherphillips.com", "smscott@fisherphillips.com", "smscott@fisherphillips.com",

. Cc: "bwelsh@fisherphillips.com" <bwelsh@fisherphillips.com>, "McClintock, Cyndi" <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Good Afternoon,

I hope everyone is doing well. JAMS has reviewed all documents provided thus far and sent this matter for internal review for any consumer designations, the results of which will be sent to all Parties early next week via US MAIL along with the appropriate filling fees based off the results of that review. Once all filling fees have been paid JAMS can move forward with the commencement of the matter to begin the arbitrator selection process.

In the meantime, upon review of the Amended Proof of Service that accompanied the Fourth Amended Demand, I see the same address is listed for multiple Respondents who seem to be unrelated. Please confirm all addresses are correct as listed on the Amended Proof of Service (JAMS will have to send all notices via US MAIL as we do not have email addresses for all named Respondents). If JAMS receives any returned mail from any of the Parties, a revised Proof of Service will need to be produced with the correct contact information in order to move forward.

Thank you and please let me know if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,



Thornton

Arbitration Practice Administrator
P: 4

@jamsadr.com



The future of ADR is here, and JAMS Next is leading the way with

From: Jorden Timothy

Sent: Friday, May 16, 2025 8:34 AM To: Thornton (jamsadr.com)

Cc: bedwards@fisherphillips.com; smscott@fisherphillips.com; bwelsh@fisherphillips.com;

McClintock, Cyndi <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Subject: Re: FOLLOW-UP: JAMS Case No. 5160000821 / Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al. /

Respondent DRVM's Notice of Appearance

Caution: This email originated from outside JAMS. Do not click on links, scan QR codes, or

open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Hi Amv.

Hope all is well. Following up on our prior exchange, I wanted to provide additional clarity regarding the overlapping addresses listed in the Amended Proof of Service, to help ensure everything proceeds without delay.

- 1. Chattem Inc. is wholly owned by Sanofi-Aventis, and business registrations confirm that Chattem uses Sanofi's corporate address.
- 2. Quten Research Institute is co-founded by Ashraf Boutros and Deepak Chopra, with Mr. Boutros listed as CEO. As such, his address is used consistently across related filings.
- 3. DRVM and AMJ Services share the same CEO, Maged Boutros, and were originally served together at the same address. Despite being the same underlying entity and individual. Gordon Rees later retreated representation of both AMJ Services and Maged Boutros.

4. Per your guidance after that withdrawal, I re-served AMJ Services and Maged Boutros via their registered agent in Oregon to ensure proper notice.

Regarding Marie-Laurie Amaird-Boutros, I've been informed that certified mail has been refused on my attempts. This was done at her last known personal address. If JAMS receives returned mail specific to her, I understand further steps may be necessary. However, I respectfully request that proceedings continue for all other respondents without delay. Given her connection to the broader entity network, I anticipate she will be reintroduced during discovery.

Given the respondents' pattern of procedural delay and withdrawal, I anticipate continued efforts to stall this case. I'm providing this clarification now to ensure there are no further holdups and that the arbitration can move forward with the urgency and transparency it demands.

Please let me know if further clarification or updates are needed.

Best regards,

Jorden Hollingsworth

From: Jorden Timothy < Sent: Friday, May 30, 2025 6:04 AM To: Thornton Separation Friday (Sent: Friday) Friday
⚠ Caution: This email originated from outside JAMS. Do not click on links, scan QR codes, or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. ⚠
Hi Amy,
I hope you're doing well and had a smooth holiday weekend.
I wanted to follow up respectfully regarding your May 15 update. You had mentioned that the fee notices and internal review results would be sent by U.S. Mail early the following week. As of today (May 30), I haven't received anything in the mail and just wanted to confirm whether those were sent, or if there's been any delay I should be aware of.
Totally understand if there have been slight postal delays with the holiday. I just want to make sure nothing has been missed or returned undelivered. I remain eager to move into arbitrator selection and appreciate all your time and support keeping this moving.
Thank you again — truly.
Warmly, Jorden Hollingsworth
-
On Fri, May 16, 2025 at 5:19 PM
Thank you!
Sincerely,
Amy

On Fri, May 30, 2025 at 4:33 PM Thornton jamsadr.com> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for your email and checking in on the above referenced matter. Parties should receive the first wave of notices within the next few business days followed by Respondents portion of the filing fee which will need to be paid prior to the arbitrator selection process. Thanks again and I hope everyone has a relaxing weekend!

Sincerely,







Arbitration Practice Administrator





The future of ADR is here, and JAMS Next is leading the way with