



STRIKE LIST

NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES

July 25, 2025

RE: Hollingsworth, Jorden vs. DRVM LLC, et al.
JAMS Ref. No.: 5160000821

Dear Parties:

JAMS commenced the above referenced arbitration on June 23, 2025. The parties were asked to agree upon an Arbitrator. Unfortunately, this process did not yield an Arbitrator.

If the parties are still unable to mutually agree upon an Arbitrator, then using the following list of Arbitrator candidates each party may strike two (2) name(s) and rank the remaining candidates in order of preference. The deadline for return of your strike list is close of business on **August 6, 2025** [Note: Strike lists should not be exchanged amongst the parties.]:

Hon. Chad Allred (Ret.)
Hon. Marla Anderson (Ret.)
Hon. Robert B Freedman (Ret.)
Hon. Faith Ireland (Ret.)
Hon. J. Kathleen Learned (Ret.)
Hon. Dean Lum (Ret.)
Hon. Judith Ramseyer (Ret.)

Résumés and rules are available on our website, www.jamsadr.com, or by contacting me.

If a party fails to respond to the list of Arbitrator candidates by the deadline, that party shall be deemed agreeable to all the proposed candidates. JAMS will then confirm the appointment of the Arbitrator and begin scheduling. If the parties are unable to agree on a date and time, the Arbitrator shall determine those issues.

Contact me at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]@jamsadr.com if you have questions. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Arbitration Practice Administrator
[REDACTED]@jamsadr.com

Enclosures

Attachment – Arbitrator Background Summary and Relevance Assessment

This attachment provides a detailed summary of the professional backgrounds of all arbitrators listed on the JAMS strike list issued July 29, 2025. Each profile includes a brief description of the arbitrator’s primary legal experience, followed by a direct assessment of whether they meet the necessary qualifications to oversee this case. As previously stated, this matter involves AI-assisted filings, whistleblower law, pharmaceutical fraud, federal oversight, and complex shell company structuring. These are not theoretical concerns, they are central to the \$15 billion dispute currently under IRS whistleblower filings and formal arbitration.

The purpose of this attachment is to demonstrate, in plain terms, that not a single arbitrator on the provided list has verifiable experience in any of the core subject areas required to fairly adjudicate this matter.

Each of the listed neutrals is undoubtedly accomplished in their respective areas — including family law, wage disputes, employment matters, and general commercial litigation but none possess the specialized expertise required for a case involving AI-assisted litigation, pharmaceutical fraud, whistleblower law, or shell company concealment.

Hon. Faith Ireland (Ret.)

Experienced In: Employment disputes, personal injury, insurance, family law, government land issues

Not Experienced In: Pharma fraud, IRS whistleblower, AI litigation, shell structuring

Hon. Robert B. Freedman

Experienced In: Class actions, consumer fraud, wage/hour law, construction defects, mass torts

Not Experienced In: AI filings, shell structuring, whistleblower law, pharma concealment

Judge Dean S. Lum

Experienced In: Class actions, personal injury, insurance, employment, real estate, franchise disputes

Not Experienced In: Whistleblower law, IRS audits, AI-assisted filings, payroll fraud

Judge Judith H. Ramseyer

Experienced In: Commercial litigation, civil rights, family law, professional liability, estate law

Not Experienced In: Entity fraud, AI litigation, IRS fraud concealment, pharma fraud

Judge J. Kathleen Learned

Experienced In: Construction defects, product liability, estate disputes, environmental claims

Not Experienced In: Dissolved corp concealment, AI litigation, fraud tech, whistleblower law

Hon. Marla O. Anderson

Experienced In: Business breakups, probate, medical malpractice, personal injury, employment law

Not Experienced In: Shell concealment, AI filings, fraud structuring, federal oversight

Judge Chad Allred

Experienced In: Personal injury, construction, estates, public agency claims, federal trials

Not Experienced In: AI litigation, shell fraud, whistleblower claims, pharma structuring

After reviewing each arbitrator on the provided strike list, it is clear that none meet the agreed-upon qualifications necessary for this case. This is not a matter of personal capability — many of these individuals are highly respected in their respective fields — but none possess experience in AI-assisted litigation, whistleblower law, pharmaceutical fraud, or complex shell structuring. The list, as issued, does not comply with the foundational terms established on July 2, 2025. Under JAMS Rule 15(b) and the JAMS Optional AI Rules, the panel must reflect the nature and complexity of the case. This list fails to do so and therefore cannot proceed.