

---

**Re: Follow-Up on Arbitrator Selection – Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC (Ref #5160000821)**

5 messages

---

**Jorden Timothy** <jord[REDACTED]>

Tue, Jul 29 at 8:17 AM

To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Scott, Stephen <smscott@fisherphillips.com>, Edwards, Bobbi <bedwards@fisherphillips.com>, McClintock, Cyndi <cmclintock@fisherphillips.com>

[REDACTED]

Attached is my formal objection to the arbitrator strike list. The list provided lacks any neutral with the required expertise in healthcare fraud, whistleblower law, or AI-assisted litigation — all central to this case.

As outlined in the document, the parties previously agreed this would be an AI-governed case. This list violates that agreement and threatens the integrity of the proceeding. The implications are not minor: this case involves a \$15 billion healthcare fraud claim under active IRS review, with evidence already made public. Assigning an unqualified arbitrator to a matter of this scale and complexity would undermine the process entirely.

JAMS has 48 hours to correct this and produce a list that includes a qualified neutral such as Ryan Abbott or Daniel Garrie. If not, I will file a federal petition to appoint an arbitrator with the necessary background to oversee this dispute fairly and competently.

Sincerely,

Jorden Hollingsworth  
Pro Se

[REDACTED]

---

**Jorden Timothy** <jordentimothy11@gmail.com>

Tue, Jul 29 at 8:41 PM

To: Amy [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@jamsadr.com>

Cc: Scott, Stephen <smscott@fisherphillips.com>, Edwards, Bobbi <bedwards@fisherphillips.com>, McClintock, Cyndi <cmclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Dear Amy,

Quick clarification: In my previous message, I mistakenly referenced July 26 as the date the 48-hour deadline began. To be accurate, the list was issued on the morning of July 29, and that is when I submitted my objection — both by email and on the JAMS dashboard.

Accordingly, the 48-hour deadline runs through July 31 and remains in full effect.

Sincerely,  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Amy [REDACTED]** <[REDACTED]@jamsadr.com>

Wed, Jul 30 at 9:21 AM

To: Jorden Timothy <jordentimothy11@gmail.com>

Cc: Scott, Stephen <smscott@fisherphillips.com>, Edwards, Bobbi <bedwards@fisherphillips.com>, McClintock, Cyndi <cmclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Good Morning,

Thank you for your email. Can all Parties please confirm the below?

[Quoted text hidden]

OBJECTION FOR ARBITRATOR STRIKE LIST – LACK OF QUALIFIED NEUTRAL FOR HEALTHCARE  
FRAUD AND AI CASE.pdf

A [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@jamsadr.com>

Tue, Jul 29 at 5:50 PM

To: Jorden Timothy <j[REDACTED]@jamsadr.com>

Cc: Scott, Stephen <smscott@fisherphillips.com>, Edwards, Bobbi <bedwards@fisherphillips.com>, McClintock, Cyndi <cmclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Good Evening,

Thank you for your email. Per JAMS Employment Rules (Rule 15) all Parties will have 7 calendar days to respond to any challenge of an arbitrator(s)/strike list provided by JAMS. If all Parties are not in agreement to receive a revised strike and rank list as requested by Claimant below, please provide your response to the attached by close of business August 6, 2025. Once all Parties have submitted their response(s), JAMS will review all Parties' positions and provide Parties with next steps.

Thanks again and please let me know if you have any questions.

[Quoted text hidden]

OBJECTION FOR ARBITRATOR STRIKE LIST – LACK OF QUALIFIED NEUTRAL FOR HEALTHCARE  
FRAUD AND AI CASE.pdf

Jorden Timothy <j[REDACTED]@jamsadr.com>

Tue, Jul 29 at 6:11 PM

To: A [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@jamsadr.com>

Cc: Scott, Stephen <smscott@fisherphillips.com>, Edwards, Bobbi <bedwards@fisherphillips.com>, McClintock, Cyndi <cmclintock@fisherphillips.com>

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for your message. However, I must respectfully clarify that on July 2, we reached a clear agreement that any arbitrator assigned to this matter must have verifiable experience in AI and emerging technology — consistent with the nature of this case and the JAMS Optional Rules for Disputes Involving Artificial Intelligence Systems. That was a foundational agreement to ensure fairness in a case involving whistleblower law, healthcare fraud, AI-assisted filings, and complex shell company structuring.

This is not a routine employment case. This is a \$15 billion matter involving active federal IRS whistleblower filings and allegations of falsified W-2s through dissolved companies. Under JAMS Rule 15(b), a case of this magnitude and complexity should be matched with an arbitrator who is genuinely qualified to oversee it. Instead, the current strike list includes no one with experience in any of the core subjects: not AI litigation, not pharmaceutical fraud, not whistleblower law, and not shell structuring.

On July 18, I made it explicitly clear that I would not accept any strike list that excluded qualified arbitrators. On July 26, I gave a 48-hour deadline for correction. Since this list was issued within that 48-hour window, we are still within the deadline period — and my position remains unchanged. The list must be corrected.

JAMS invoking a 7-day strike rule assumes both parties are engaging with the list in good faith. That is not the case here. Respondents have failed to appear for months, reactivated a dissolved shell company mid-arbitration, and submitted a \$6,130 deposit without disclosing it to me or the case record. They have shown every intent to manipulate the process, and this list, lacking all relevant qualifications, furthers that pattern.

The 48-hour deadline still stands. I will proceed with my federal petition under 9 U.S.C. § 5 to compel appointment of a qualified arbitrator. As stated, the only names presented who are reasonably aligned with the issues are Daniel Garrie and Ryan Abbott. If respondents agree to one of them immediately, I am willing to proceed. And that is generous after extreme bias. Otherwise, I cannot continue in a forum that has repeatedly ignored its own standards and enabled concealment.

Respectfully,

[Quoted text hidden]