If we can agree on a JAMS arbitrator list that includes candidates with AI and technical subject matter expertise as required by Rule 15(b), I'm fully prepared to proceed with the strike-and-rank process.

If not, I will petition federal court for arbitrator appointment after a deadline of 48 hours. That petition will include exhibits, evidence, and procedural history, all of which will become part of the public docket.

I'm offering this window as a good faith opportunity to resolve selection cooperatively. Let me know if you're willing to proceed with a list that complies with JAMS policy and the technical nature of this case.

[Quoted text hidden]

Jorden Timothy

Tue. Jul 1 at 4:39 P

Dear Amy, Stephen, and all.

I am formally notifying the parties that I am submitting a Notice of Unsolicited Payment by DRVM LLC to the case docket today, July 1, 2025.

This filing documents a \$6,130.10 deposit made directly into my personal bank account by DRVM LLC, the same entity that has been presented as the sole respondent participating in arbitration, despite overwhelming evidence of upstream control and concealment. The timing of this unsolicited deposit, occurring within the 48-hour window I previously outlined before filing a federal petition to appoint an arbitrator, raises significant legal, ethical, and procedural concerns.

This notice has been entered into the record not as an acceptance of any terms, but to ensure full transparency and preserve the evidentiary integrity of these proceedings. As detailed in the document, this action appears inconsistent with prior conduct. off-record discussions, and the arbitration framework currently in place.

I remain willing to resolve arbitrator selection through JAMS strike-and-rank, but only if the list complies with Rule 15(b), requiring appropriate technical background given the central role of artificial intelligence in this arbitration. Absent agreement on such a list within the remaining window, I will proceed with a federal court petition to assign an arbitrator, at which point this matter and all supporting evidence will enter the public record.

Respectfully,

[Quoted text hidden]

CLAIMANT'S NOTICE OF UNSOLICITED PAYMENT FROM RESPONDENT DRVM LLC.pdf, Exhibit 110 - Undisclosed DRVM Deposit July 1st, 2025.pdf

July 2, 2025

e-Filed JAMS Access Portal

Arbitration Practice Administrator JAMS

Copy to: Claimant Jorden Hollingsworth (by email and first-class mail)

Re: Jorden Hollingsworth v. DRVM LLC, et al.

JAMS Ref. No.: 5160000821

Dear Ms. Thornton.

Pursuant to Rule 15(b) of the JAMS Comprehensive Arbitration Rules and Procedures, DRVM LLC hereby consents to the use of the strike—and—rank panel appointment process for selection of a sole arbitrator. In order to ensure that the tribunal is properly equipped to address the substantive issues in this dispute—namely, Oregon wage-and-hour claims—DRVM's consent is expressly conditioned on JAMS furnishing a roster of candidates each of whom has experience adjudicating Oregon wage and hour matters in addition to any additional qualifications requested by Mr. Hollingsworth.

We appreciate JAMS' assistance in providing at least five (5) qualified arbitrator candidates, accompanied by a brief summary of each candidate's background and relevant experience. Once we receive the list, DRVM will proceed with striking and ranking in accordance with Rule 15(b)'s prescribed procedures.

Jorden Timothy <

Tue, Jul 29 at 8:17 AM

Cc: Scott, Stephen <smscott@fisherphillips.com>, Edwards, Bobbi <bedwards@fisherphillips.com>, McClintock, Cyndi <cmcclintock@fisherphillips.com>



Attached is my formal objection to the arbitrator strike list. The list provided lacks any neutral with the required expertise in healthcare fraud, whistleblower law, or Al-assisted litigation — all central to this case.

As outlined in the document, the parties previously agreed this would be an Al-governed case. This list violates that agreement and threatens the integrity of the proceeding. The implications are not minor: this case involves a \$15 billion healthcare fraud claim under active IRS review, with evidence already made public. Assigning an unqualified arbitrator to a matter of this scale and complexity would undermine the process entirely.

JAMS has 48 hours to correct this and produce a list that includes a qualified neutral such as Ryan Abbott or Daniel Garrie. If not, I will file a federal petition to appoint an arbitrator with the necessary background to oversee this dispute fairly and competently.

Sincerely,

Jorden Hollingsworth

JAMS August 1, 2025 Page 2

Respondent also rejects Claimant's assertion that his use of AI tools in preparing filings transforms this into an "AI-governed dispute" requiring a panel of AI litigation specialists. This case does not involve substantive claims regarding artificial intelligence systems, their misuse, or their regulation. As such, there is no procedural or legal basis for Claimant's demand that JAMS issue a revised panel composed exclusively of arbitrators with expertise in AI.

Respondent has participated in this arbitration in good faith and in full compliance with JAMS procedures. By contrast, Claimant has repeatedly imposed unsupported demands, arbitrary deadlines, and inflammatory accusations against JAMS and Respondents—none of which are grounded in law or fact. If Claimant persists in obstructing the arbitration process through frivolous motions, dilatory tactics, or bad-faith conduct aimed at driving up Respondents' litigation costs, Respondent DRVM LLC expressly reserves the right to seek sanctions under all applicable arbitral rules and law.

We ask that JAMS continue with the standard strike-and-rank procedure under Rule 15(b), and disregard Claimant's baseless objection. Should you require any additional information to facilitate this process, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Stephen M. Scott Partner

For FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP

SMS:be