

Whistleblower Retaliation Complaint under the Taxpayer First Act (TFA)

Jorden Hollingsworth

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Date: August 13th, 2025

To:

U.S. Department of Labor

Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)

Subject: Complaint Statement and Request for OSHA Action

RE: Whistleblower Retaliation Complaint under the Taxpayer First Act (TFA)

I am an IRS whistleblower under the Taxpayer First Act (TFA). I am requesting that OSHA investigate retaliation taken against me by DRVM LLC, Quten Research Institute, Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC, and Chattem Inc. for protected whistleblower activity.

After filing detailed IRS whistleblower claims exposing concealed payroll and tax fraud, and after the IRS advanced the matter to audit, I faced coordinated suppression, procedural obstruction, and direct retaliation. The retaliation is ongoing and has damaged my ability to pursue protected activity, weakened my litigation, and undermined the IRS whistleblower process.

Whistleblower Retaliation Complaint under the Taxpayer First Act (TFA)

Employer Information

Employer Name: DRVM LLC (believed to be operated by Quten Research Institute, owned by Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC, and connected to Chattem Inc.)

Street Address of Worksite: 13130 SE 84th Ave

Clackamas, Oregon 97015

Industry: Wholesale/Retail/Sales (Costco vendor operations) tied to pharmaceutical product distribution and healthcare products

Approximate Number of Employees: Unknown due to corporate concealment

Location on Federal or Military Base: No

Person(s) Who Took Adverse Action

There is no single point of contact because all leadership hides behind shell entities. DRVM's HR is fake or inaccessible, the CEO never appears, and all communication is routed through intermediaries, preventing direct accountability.

Protected Activity

In **April 2025**, I filed IRS whistleblower claims against **DRVM LLC, Quten Research Institute, Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC, and Chattem Inc.** These filings documented concealed payroll under dissolved or fake entities, depriving employees of lawful corporate protections.

On **June 26, 2025**, I was notified that the IRS had advanced the matter to audit. Respondents knew of these filings because:

1. The concealment I reported is the core of my arbitration case.

Whistleblower Retaliation Complaint under the Taxpayer First Act (TFA)

2. I placed the IRS filings on the arbitration record after respondents refused to settle or acknowledge wrongdoing.

Detailed Narrative of Events

I worked inside a Costco location for DRVM LLC, later discovering the company had been dissolved in public records. Despite this, DRVM continued to operate, employ workers, and route payroll through concealed or inactive entities. Based on corporate records and public filings, DRVM is believed to be operated by Quten Research Institute, which is owned by Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC.

This shell structure conceals the true employer, insulates decision-makers from accountability, and enables payroll fraud by placing W-2 employees under entities that do not legally exist or are inactive. Workers, including myself, were denied legitimate corporate HR access, and the listed CEO was unreachable, leaving no real point of contact for employment matters.

After the IRS confirmed my claims and advanced the matter, retaliation began immediately:

- **Coordinated Digital Suppression:** Permanent bans from X (Twitter), Medium, and Reddit; severe throttling on TikTok (thousands of views reduced to near zero overnight). These platforms share major institutional shareholders BlackRock and Vanguard with Sanofi and Chattem, creating a credible basis to believe suppression was influenced by shared financial interests.
- **Procedural Obstruction in Arbitration:** On July 2, 2025, both parties agreed in writing (“meeting of the minds”) to appoint an arbitrator with AI, emerging tech, fraud, and wage expertise. JAMS later issued an unqualified arbitrator list, violating both its own rules and the signed agreement. When I invoked the arbitration clause allowing a court to appoint an arbitrator if the parties could not agree, respondents sought sanctions against me for exercising this contractual federal oversight.

Whistleblower Retaliation Complaint under the Taxpayer First Act (TFA)

- **Improper Monetary Deposit:** Respondents deposited \$6,130 directly into my personal account with no paystub, agreement, or explanation — to me, to JAMS, or to the record. I repeatedly requested clarification, entered the deposit as an exhibit in arbitration, and pointed out that respondents' answer failed to address it. This issue is still being completely ignored by both the respondents and the forum.

The totality of these actions — suppression, arbitration manipulation, sanctions threats, and an unexplained deposit, is retaliation against a protected whistleblower for activity covered under the TFA.

Adverse Actions Taken Against Me

1. Coordinated Digital Suppression

- Removal from X, Medium, Reddit, and throttling on TikTok.
- Loss of public transparency, witness outreach, and leads essential to my pro se litigation.
- Platforms share major shareholders (BlackRock and Vanguard) with Sanofi and Chattem.

2. Arbitration Manipulation

- Written agreement on July 2, 2025 for an arbitrator with AI, emerging tech, and wage expertise.
- Forum later issued an unqualified list, violating both rules and agreement.

3. Sanctions for Pursuing Federal Oversight

- Retaliation for using the arbitration agreement's clause allowing a court to appoint an arbitrator when parties cannot agree.
- Respondents sought sanctions for my lawful exercise of contractual and statutory rights.

Whistleblower Retaliation Complaint under the Taxpayer First Act (TFA)

4. Improper Monetary Deposit

- \$6,130 deposited into my personal account with no explanation, paystub, or forum notice.
- Requests for clarification ignored by both respondents and the forum despite being entered as a case exhibit.

Impact of Suppression and Retaliation

The retaliation has severely damaged my ability to pursue protected whistleblower activity. I am prosecuting this case pro se against one of the largest pharmaceutical companies in the world. My survival depends on public transparency and the ability to crowdsource information.

By removing me from major platforms and throttling my content:

- **To me:** I lost my only cost-free tools for finding witnesses, securing leads, and building public pressure.
- **To the case:** Discovery is crippled, respondent misconduct remains hidden, and a chilling effect is created for potential witnesses.
- **To them:** The suppression shields them from scrutiny during a critical stage of IRS audit and arbitration.

Requested OSHA Action

I request that OSHA:

1. Investigate DRVM LLC, Quten Research Institute, Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC, and Chattem Inc. for retaliation directly tied to my IRS whistleblower filings and audit.

Whistleblower Retaliation Complaint under the Taxpayer First Act (TFA)

2. Examine the coordinated platform bans and BlackRock/Vanguard shareholder connections as part of the retaliation.
3. Investigate the arbitration manipulation, improper deposit, and sanctions attempt as retaliation for invoking contractual and statutory rights to federal oversight under the TFA.

Attachments Available Upon Request:

- IRS claim confirmations
- Proof of platform removals and suppression
- Arbitration agreement showing the arbitrator qualifications
- Correspondence proving the July 2 meeting of the minds
- Federal petition showing sanctions attempt
- Bank record of the \$6,130 deposit and unanswered requests for clarification

The retaliation and suppression I have endured have affected every part of my life. I have lost my primary means of earning a living, my ability to communicate publicly about my case, and critical opportunities to gather evidence and witnesses. Being banned from major platforms has stripped me of the ability to reach reporters, engage the media, and locate former or current employees with direct knowledge of the misconduct. It has cut off my most effective tools for gathering evidence, securing leads, and building public pressure — all of which are essential for a pro se whistleblower.

The obstruction of my arbitration has further damaged my ability to pursue justice, including the forum allowing respondents to make an undisclosed \$6,000 deposit into my personal account without explanation, documentation, or accountability. This conduct undermines the integrity of the process, enables respondents to manipulate proceedings, and leaves me fighting under rules that are selectively enforced against me. The constant obstruction and platform bans have left me

Whistleblower Retaliation Complaint under the Taxpayer First Act (TFA)

isolated in this fight, damaging my reputation, my financial stability, and my health. The stress of battling one of the largest pharmaceutical companies in the world — alone, as a pro se whistleblower — has been overwhelming. Every day I am forced to operate under the weight of targeted retaliation, the knowledge that my case is being deliberately buried, and the risk that my ability to seek justice will be permanently compromised.

Signature - /s/Jorden Hollingsworth

Jorden Hollingsworth

August 13th, 2025